

# Family Preservation Services

An Assessment of Recent DCFS Performance and Outcomes  
SFY 2019

June 2019



Arkansas Department of Human Services  
Division of Children and Family Services

# Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Safety.....	2
Reports Accepted for a Child Maltreatment Assessment .....	2
Child Maltreatment Investigations.....	3
Preventing Maltreatment Recurrence.....	6
Differential Response Assessments .....	7
In-Home Protective Services Cases .....	9
Discussion.....	10
Permanency .....	11
Children in Foster Care .....	11
Child Removal.....	13
Performance Metrics on Permanency.....	14
Discussion.....	19
Well-Being.....	20
Assessing and Visiting Children and Families.....	20
Discussion.....	22

## APPENDIX Tables

The NCCD Children’s Research Center is a nonprofit social research organization and a center of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency.

## Introduction

Act 906 of the 2001 session of the Arkansas legislature requires the Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS) to conduct an annual evaluation of family preservation services. The Act specifies several types of services, including those designed to prevent the removal of children from their homes, facilitate the reunification of families with children who have been removed from their homes, and help children attain safe and appropriate permanent living arrangements in event that reunification does not occur.

These services encompass virtually all activities performed by DCFS in its work with families and children. In this sense, any child or family that DCFS has assessed and/or for whom the agency has opened a case can be said to be in need of family preservation services. This report addresses whether the assistance and services that children and their families receive lead to positive results.

Within this context, the goal of this report is to examine DCFS's performance during Calendar Year 2018 (CY 2018), comparing it to its performance during the preceding two years. Many of the metrics analyzed herein replicate indicators currently monitored by the federal Children's Bureau, while other metrics are commonly used within Arkansas's and other states' child welfare systems. The report metrics are structured around safety, permanency, and well-being.

In addition to assessing statewide performance, individual service areas and counties' ability to keep children safe, help them gain permanency, and promote their well-being are examined; their performance is detailed in the report as well.

## Reports Accepted for a Child Maltreatment Assessment

During CY 2018, Arkansas accepted 29,012 child maltreatment reports for an investigation.

Over 80% of those investigations (23,301) were assigned to DCFS; the remainder (5,711) were assigned to the Arkansas State Police Crimes Against Children Division.

DCFS was also responsible for all 6,423 reports that were accepted for differential response (DR) during the year. The number of DRs received increased by nearly 43% from CY 2016 (Figure 1).

Nearly 19% of the total assessments (investigations and DRs combined) assigned to DCFS during CY 2018 originated from Area 1 (Figure 2).

DCFS staff from Area 10 received the fewest number of total assessments, followed by those in Areas 4 and 7.

Figure 1

**Accepted Child Maltreatment Reports, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 2

**Total Reports Assigned to DCFS, by Area (CY 2018)**



# Child Maltreatment Investigations

## Timeliness of Initiating Investigations

DCFS staff must see alleged victims within 24 hours of receipt of a priority one report and 72 hours of receipt of a priority two report. Agency staff statewide initiated 82% of their investigations on time during CY 2018 (Figure 3).

Areas 1, 3, 8, 9, and 10 initiated at least 87% of their investigations in a timely manner during the year (Figure 4).

Nearly all counties within these five Areas initiated over 80% of their investigations on time, with the highest timely initiation rates for each area observed in Benton (Area 1), Howard (Area 3), Clay (Area 8), Cleburne (Area 9), and Chicot (Area 10) counties.

The comparatively low initiation rate for Area 2 is attributed largely to Sebastian County (63%), while Area 7's mainly stemmed from Jefferson County (47%). Miller County (68%) had the lowest initiation rate in Area 4, while Faulkner County (64%) had the lowest in Area 5.

Appendix table A1 displays the percentage of investigations initiated timely by county.

Figure 3

**Timeliness of Initiating Investigations, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 4

**Timeliness of Initiating Investigations, by Area (CY 2018)**



## Timeliness of Completing Investigations

Investigations are to be completed within 45 days of receipt of the report. In select instances, a 15-day extension may be granted, resulting in a 60-day completion requirement. DCFS completed 84% of its investigations on time in CY 2018, a dramatic improvement from CY 2016 (Figure 5).

Areas 10, 8, and 9 had the highest timely completion rates. All remaining areas—except for Area 4 (55%)—completed at least 80% of their investigations on time during the year (Figure 6).

All counties in Area 10 completed over 95% of their investigations on time. In Area 8, Craighead and Clay counties (100% each) performed well, as did Crittenden County (99%) in Area 9.

All counties in Area 4 except Hempstead and Nevada struggled to complete their investigations on time. The timely completion rate was 50% for Miller County and even lower for Columbia (42%), Sevier (28%), and Little River (26%) counties.

Appendix Table A2 displays the percentage of investigations completed on time by county.

Figure 5

### Timeliness of Completing Investigations, Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 6

### Timeliness of Completing Investigations, by Area (CY 2018)



## Substantiation of Investigations

DCFS substantiated 20% of its investigations during CY 2018, similar to previous years (Figure 7).

DCFS staff from Areas 2, 5, 8, and 9 substantiated just under a quarter (24%) of their assigned investigations during CY 2018. The lowest substantiation rates were observed in Areas 1 and 7 (Figure 8).

Among individual counties, Benton County (Area 1) substantiated a smaller share of its investigations (12%) than nearly any other county; only in rural Lafayette (Area 4) and Prairie (Area 7) counties were investigations substantiated at a lesser rate.

Appendix Table A3 displays the percentage of substantiated investigations by county.

Figure 7

**Percentage of Substantiated DCFS Investigations, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 8

**Percentage of Substantiated DCFS Investigations, by Area (CY 2018)**



## Preventing Maltreatment Recurrence

Recurrence of maltreatment is measured by examining all child victims of maltreatment during a 12-month period and identifying how many were victimized within the next 12 months. For example, for CY 2018, all child victims of maltreatment during CY 2017 were tracked forward to see whether they were victims of a subsequent maltreatment report within 12 months of the initial report.

Less than 6% of child victims in Arkansas experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months of a substantiation for CY 2018 (Figure 9). DCFS met the national standard with respect to the recurrence of maltreatment for each of the past three years. All 10 Areas also achieved the national standard (Figure 10).

Of the 75 Arkansas counties, 62 met the standard; Ashley, Boone, Clark, Drew, Jackson, Little River, Marion, Montgomery, Newton, Pike, Searcy, Sharp and White counties did not. Most of these counties are sparsely populated. Appendix Table A4 displays the percentage of children who experienced maltreatment recurrence by county.

Figure 9  
**Recurrence Within 12 Months, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 10  
**Recurrence Within 12 Months, by Area (CY 2018)**





# Differential Response Assessments

## Timeliness of Initiating DR Reports

DCFS must see all alleged victims and at least one parent/caregiver within 72 hours for DR referrals. The agency consistently struggles to initiate DRs on time, doing so for less than two thirds of such reports for each of the past three years (Figure 11).

Area 10 initiated more DRs on time (85%) than any other area, though timeliness in Areas 5 and 8 were also above 80%. Meanwhile, the timely initiation rate was less than 60% in Areas 1, 2, 6, 7, and 9 (Figure 12).

Only a handful of individual counties had timely initiation rates of at least 90%: Little River County (Area 4); Baxter, Marion, and Newton counties (Area 5); Greene County (Area 8); and Ashley, Lee, and St. Francis counties (Area 10).

In Area 9, which was the lone area to initiate less than half of its DRs on time, staff had particular difficulty initiating DRs originating from Independence (34%), White (38%), and Crittenden (39%) counties.

Appendix Table A5 displays the percentage of timely DRs initiated by county.

Figure 11

**Timeliness of Initiating DR Referrals, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 12

**Timeliness of Initiating DR Referrals, by Area (CY 2018)**



## Timeliness of Completing DR Reports

Reports assigned for DR must be closed within 30 days of receipt of the report. In certain instances when the families' needs necessitate longer involvement, up to two 15-day extensions can be granted, for a total of 60 days.

DCFS's performance on timely DR referral completion has improved recently, resulting in a timely completion rate of 86% for CY 2018 (Figure 13).

Areas 1, 3, 5, and 8 each completed over 90% of DRs in a timely manner (Figure 14). The individual counties in these four areas generally performed well; only a few fell below 90%.

Area 9 had the lowest DR timely initiation rate and the lowest DR timely completion rate (53%). Area 7's performance (63%) underscores Jefferson (56%) and Lonoke (61%) counties' completion rates.

Area 10 struggled to complete DRs on time (70%) despite performing better than any other area in timely investigation initiation and completion and timely DR initiation. The lowest rates of timely DR completion in Area 10 were in Chicot, Desha, and Drew counties. Appendix Table A6 displays timely DR completion by county.

Figure 13

**Timeliness of DR Referral Completion, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 14

**Timeliness of DR Referral Completion, by Area (CY 2018)**



## In-Home Protective Services Cases

When a maltreatment report or court order necessitates DCFS's involvement with a family but there is not an immediate threat to any child's safety, the agency can provide in-home protective services to the family in which no children are removed from the home.

DCFS was responsible for 1,930 open in-home cases at the end of CY 2018, a decrease of 27% from CY 2016 (Figure 15). Figure 16 shows the number of in-home cases by area at the end of CY 2018.

The number of in-home cases decreased by at least 15% in each area over the same period, with the largest decline observed in Areas 6 and 7 (40% each).

Among individual counties, the largest net decreases in in-home cases occurred in Greene (112 to 36), Lonoke (95 to 36), Miller (65 to 29), Sebastian (135 to 91), and Washington (255 to 142) counties.

Appendix Table A7 reviews the number of in-home protective services cases that were open at the end of the year by county.

Figure 15

**Open In-Home Protective Services Cases, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 16

**Open In-Home Protective Services Cases, by Area (CY 2018)**



N = 1,930

## Discussion

### Strengths

Of the 29,012 child maltreatment reports accepted for investigation in Arkansas during CY 2018, 23,301 (80%) were assigned to DCFS. DCFS was also responsible for all 6,423 reports accepted for DR over the same period.

DCFS staff initiated 82% and completed 84% of their investigations on time during CY 2018, both improvements over its performance from CY 2016. Six areas (Areas 1, 3, 8, 9, and 10) initiated and completed at least 80% of investigations within the required timeframes.

DCFS performed well at limiting the recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months, bettering the national standard (9.1% or less) for each of the last three years. All 10 areas met the standard for CY 2018.

DCFS also has improved its timeliness with respect to closing DR referrals, doing so for 86% of DRs received in CY 2018; the agency's timely completion rate for DRs stood at 67% for CY 2016 and 80% for CY 2017. Areas 1, 3, 5, and 8 completed over 90% of DRs on time.

### Areas for Improvement

Though DCFS performed somewhat well at completing timely DR referrals, the agency struggled to initiate those same assessments on time. Just 63% of DRs received during CY 2018 had timely initiation, down from CY 2016 and CY 2017. The agency had particular difficulty with initiating DRs originating from Areas 1, 2, 6, 7, and 9.

## Children in Foster Care

### The Population

The number of children in foster care declined by more than 10% during CY 2018 (a decrease of 507 children). The number of children in care has fallen by more than 700 children since reaching an all-time high during CY 2016 (Figure 17). Figure 18 shows children in foster care by area at the end of CY 2018.

Over the past two years the foster child populations declined in Areas 2 (by 24%), 4 (8%), 5 (32%), 6 (31%), and 8 (21%). Within these areas the largest net decreases in children occurred in Sebastian (732 to 529) and Franklin (55 to 28) counties for Area 2; Union (76 to 40) and Miller (112 to 85) for Area 4; Boone (80 to 43) and Faulkner (145 to 114) for Area 5; and Greene (179 to 114) and Craighead (161 to 130) for Area 8. Among counties where the foster child populations increased in 2018, the largest net increases occurred in Washington County (262 to 307) within Area 1 and Lonoke County (82 to 121) within Area 7.

Appendix Table A8 displays the number of children in foster care at the end of the year by county.

Figure 17

**Children in Foster Care, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 18

**Children in Foster Care, by Area (CY 2018)**



N = 4,310

## Proximity of Children's Placements

Of children in foster care at the end of CY 2018, 69% were residing in a placement setting either within the same county from which they were removed or a neighboring county, similar to previous years (Figure 19).

Area 6 placed the highest percentage (90%) of its children in close proximity to their homes, followed closely by Area 1 (86%). The lowest shares of children placed in close proximity were in Areas 2 and 4 (Figure 20).

Appendix Table A9 displays the percentage of foster children placed in (1) their home county and (2) their home county or a neighboring county, broken down by removal county.

Figure 19

### Proximity of Child's Placement (Same or Neighboring County), Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 20

### Proximity of Child's Placement (Same or Neighboring County), by Area (CY 2018)



# Child Removal

## Removals After Substantiation

DCFS child welfare staff removed 20% of the victim children in response to a true report (i.e., the children were removed from their home either during the course of the investigation or within 10 days of the investigation's closure) during CY 2018, lower than the removal rate observed during CY 2016 and CY 2017 (Figure 21).

Area 9 removed the highest proportion (28%) of children in response to a true report during CY 2018 (Figure 22); it was also the only area whose removal rate had increased since CY 2016. The removal rate declined in the remaining nine areas, with the largest drop occurring in Area 2 (33% to 19%). Within Area 2, Sebastian County demonstrated greater restraint with respect to removing children; it removed 39% of children in response to a true report during CY 2016 and 34% during CY 2017 before declining to 24% for CY 2018.

Appendix Table A10 displays the percentage of children removed from their homes in response to a true report (i.e., substantiation) by county.

Figure 21

**Child Removal After Substantiation, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 22

**Child Removal After Substantiation, by Area (CY 2018)**



# Performance Metrics on Permanency

## Placement With Relatives

DCFS aims to place 33% of children in foster care in relative placements. As of the end of CY 2018, just over 28% of children in foster care were residing with relatives, similar to previous years (Figure 23).

Area 7 placed the highest percentage (40%) of its children in care with relatives (Figure 24). Within Area 7, Jefferson (44%) and Lonoke (39%) counties had the highest proportion of children in relative placements. Areas 8 and 10 also met the agency's goal. Meanwhile, the lowest relative placement rates were observed in Areas 6 (19%) and 3 (22%).

Appendix Table A11 displays the percentage of children in care residing with relatives by county.

Figure 23

**Placement With Relatives, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 24

**Placement With Relatives, by Area (CY 2018)**





## Placement Stability

Placement stability is measured by the rate of placement moves per 1,000 days in foster care for children who enter care over a 12-month period. The national standard is a rate of 4.12 or less.

For CY 2018 the placement stability rate was 5.96, an improvement over the preceding two years though it fell short of the national standard (Figure 25).

Children entering care from Areas 6 and 10 experienced greater placement stability than those from any other area, while those entering from Areas 4 and 7 experienced the highest number of moves, on average (Figure 26).

Appendix Table A12 displays placement stability rates, broken down by county.

Figure 25

### Placement Stability Rate, Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 26

### Placement Stability Rate, by Area (CY 2018)



## Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months

Arkansas consistently exceeds the national standard of 40.5% or more for achieving permanency for children within 12 months of removal (Figure 27). Discharges from foster care due to reunification, relative custody, noncustodial parent custody, guardianship, adoption, and nonrelative custody are considered to be permanent living situations.

Most areas met this standard, with only Areas 2 and 6 falling just below it (Figure 28).

The state also exceeded the national standards of 43.6% for children who had been in care for 12 to 23 months and achieved permanency within the subsequent 12 months (58%) and 30.3% for children who had been in care for 24 months or longer and achieved permanency within the ensuing 12 months (36%). Only Area 10 failed to meet the standard for the 12- to 23-month interval; Areas 1, 7, 8 and 10 failed to do so for the 24 months or longer interval.

Appendix Tables A13, A14, and A15 display the state's performance with respect to achieving permanency for these three intervals of time by county.

Figure 27





Figure 28

**Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care, by Area (CY 2018)**



## Preventing Foster Care Reentry

The state met the national standard (8.3% or less) for preventing child reentry into care within 12 months of discharge for CY 2018 (Figure 29).

The statewide reentry rate improved (i.e., decreased) by nearly three percentage points from CY 2016.

Six of the 10 areas met the standard for limiting child reentry into foster care (Figure 30). Of the remaining four, Area 1's struggles at limiting reentries stemmed largely from Washington County (14%), Area 3's from Garland (17%), Area 4's from Union (22%), and Area 9's from Independence (24%).

Appendix Table A16 displays foster care reentry rates by county.

Figure 29

### Reentries Within 12 Months, Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 30

### Reentries Within 12 Months, by Area (CY 2018)



## Time to Adoption

Adoptions were finalized for 969 children in CY 2018, similar to the previous year and a 16% increase since CY 2016 (Figure 31).

The average length of time from termination of parental rights (TPR) until adoption finalization was just over 11 months for CY 2018, identical to CY 2017 and slightly sooner than CY 2016 (Figure 32).

It took less than 10 months, on average, to finalize adoptions in Areas 1, 5, 8, and 10 in CY 2018. Adoptions finalized in Areas 4 (15 months) and 6 (14 months) took the longest on average.

Appendix Table A17 displays the number of finalized adoptions and the average time from TPR to adoption finalization by county.

Figure 31

### Number of Finalized Adoptions, Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 32

### Time (in Months) From TPR to Adoption Finalization, Statewide by Calendar Year



## Discussion

### Strengths

There were 4,310 children in foster care as of the end of CY 2018; the number had declined by more than 700 since reaching an all-time high in CY 2016.

DCFS did well at moving children in foster care toward permanency regardless of their length of time in foster care. For each of the past years, DCFS met the federal standards with respect to achieving permanency within 12 months for (1) children who enter care during the year, (2) children who had been in care for 12 to 23 months, and (3) children who had been in care for 24 months or longer.

DCFS also has seen consistent decreases in the percentage of children who reenter foster care within 12 months of discharge. The statewide reentry for CY 2018 was less than the national standard of 8.3% or less.

The average length of time from TPR to adoption finalization was 11.3 months for the 969 adoptions finalized during CY 2018; this is less than the national average of 11.6 months.

### Areas for Improvement

Statewide, the agency struggled to place children close to the homes from which they were removed. A total of 69% resided in either their home county or a neighboring county at the end of CY 2018, similar to previous years.

The percentage of children in care residing with relatives was 27–29% over the past three years, well above the relative placement rate observed previously (e.g., 20% for CY 2015) but below DCFS's aspiring goal of 33%. For CY 2018, Areas 3 and 6 placed the lowest percentage of children with relatives.

DCFS has steadily improved the stability of child placements in recent years. The placement stability rate of 5.96 in CY 2018 was lower than the preceding two years (7.31 for CY 2016 and 6.64 for CY 2017) but nonetheless short of the national standard. None of the 10 areas met the standard for CY 2018; the highest rates of placement moves were in Areas 4 and 7.

## Assessing and Visiting Children and Families

DCFS must assess the children and families involved in its in-home and foster care cases on an ongoing basis to identify their needs and provide them with appropriate services. DCFS’s primary means of assessing and monitoring children and families is consistent face-to-face visits. Caseworkers must visit each child in foster care in the placement setting once a month; for in-home protective services cases, caseworkers must visit family members in their home at least once per month.

### Visiting In-Home Families

Since CY 2016, the completion rate of visits to in-home families has increased 15 percentage points, bringing it closer to DCFS’s goal of 85% (Figure 33).

The in-home visitation rate has increased in nine of the 10 areas since CY 2016. While only Area 4—led by the agency’s efforts to see in-home families in Hempstead (94%) and Union (93%) counties—met DCFS’s goal, Areas 5, 6, 8, 9, and 10 all came very close (Figure 34).

In certain counties within Areas 1, 2, 3, and 7, staff struggled to see in-home families consistently. The in-home visitation rates observed in Washington County (51%) in Area 1, Sebastian (57%) in Area 2, Saline (48%) in Area 3, and Jefferson (44%) in Area 7 contributed to the below-average performance in those areas (not shown).

Appendix Table A18 displays the agency’s performance at visiting in-home families by county.

Figure 33

**Caseworker Visitation With In-Home Cases, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 34

**In-Home Monthly Visits, by Area (CY 2018)**



## Visiting Children in Foster Care

DCFS completed 83% of its required monthly visits to see foster children in their placement during CY 2018, 11 percentage points higher than CY 2016 and CY 2017 (Figure 35).

Areas 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10 met DCFS's goal of 85% for monthly visits to foster children during CY 2018. The remaining four areas completed at least 75% of their required visits to foster children (Figure 36).

Appendix Table A19 displays the agency's rate of visiting children in foster care by county.

Figure 35

**Caseworker Visitation With Foster Children, Statewide by Calendar Year**





Figure 36

**Foster Care Monthly Visits Statewide, by Area (CY 2018)**



## Discussion

### Strengths

DCFS improved the rate at which it visited the children and families in its in-home cases during CY 2018. The agency made 73% of its required monthly visits to in-home families, well above the rates observed during the preceding two years (58% and 60%, respectively).

Similarly, DCFS dramatically improved the rate at which it visited children in foster care. Staff completed 83% of its required monthly visits during the year, easily surpassing its visitation rate for CY 2016 and CY 2017 (72% each). As a result, the agency fell just short of its 85% goal.

### Areas for Improvement

While the increased monitoring and visitation of the children and families served by DCFS is encouraging, further improvement is still needed to reach visitation goals. The lowest in-home and foster care visitation rates for CY 2018 were observed in Areas 1, 2, and 7.



## **Appendix**

### **Tables**

Table A1

## Percentage of Investigations With Timely Initiation

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	77.7%	88.4%	91.9%
	Carroll	83.1%	88.3%	87.0%
	Madison	69.6%	82.4%	86.8%
	Washington	78.4%	87.3%	84.5%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>78.0%</b>	<b>87.7%</b>	<b>88.1%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	70.8%	73.8%	85.4%
	Franklin	54.2%	68.4%	83.3%
	Johnson	66.5%	68.2%	76.7%
	Logan	84.5%	79.9%	51.2%
	Scott	74.0%	60.5%	69.1%
	Sebastian	77.8%	74.5%	63.1%
	Yell	74.3%	78.2%	67.1%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>73.8%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>70.2%</b>
Area 3	Clark	92.2%	93.8%	91.3%
	Garland	92.5%	89.5%	89.0%
	Hot Spring	95.7%	93.0%	90.9%
	Howard	92.9%	95.0%	97.4%
	Montgomery	96.6%	94.4%	95.1%
	Perry	46.6%	81.5%	76.1%
	Pike	95.4%	93.9%	91.1%
	Polk	94.4%	87.1%	91.3%
	Saline	69.6%	88.8%	80.3%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>85.1%</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>86.9%</b>
Area 4 Total	Columbia	67.4%	83.6%	78.5%
	Hempstead	59.3%	86.8%	85.7%
	Lafayette	60.0%	78.4%	66.0%
	Little River	72.8%	70.7%	79.5%
	Miller	71.8%	66.8%	67.9%
	Nevada	81.4%	82.5%	91.7%
	Ouachita	73.2%	68.7%	79.9%
	Sevier	86.3%	79.2%	84.3%
	Union	77.1%	79.4%	72.8%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>72.1%</b>	<b>75.1%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>

Table A1

## Percentage of Investigations With Timely Initiation

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	79.4%	88.3%	88.6%
	Boone	82.6%	79.2%	84.4%
	Conway	89.4%	70.7%	74.7%
	Faulkner	56.3%	50.2%	64.4%
	Marion	76.8%	79.6%	94.7%
	Newton	81.9%	73.5%	85.7%
	Pope	90.0%	88.7%	83.8%
	Searcy	73.8%	40.0%	47.6%
	Van Buren	65.2%	48.3%	57.4%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>74.7%</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>75.7%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	72.4%	72.8%	76.3%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>72.4%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>76.3%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	90.6%	63.8%	77.9%
	Calhoun	53.3%	71.4%	60.7%
	Cleveland	68.2%	62.1%	79.4%
	Dallas	69.1%	89.2%	78.9%
	Grant	49.3%	46.5%	81.5%
	Jefferson	48.0%	59.2%	47.0%
	Lincoln	78.8%	60.5%	74.2%
	Lonoke	81.1%	80.7%	77.2%
	Prairie	84.1%	71.6%	77.3%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>68.6%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>	<b>69.7%</b>
Area 8	Clay	99.4%	99.2%	99.3%
	Craighead	88.0%	92.9%	89.6%
	Fulton	89.1%	90.7%	89.0%
	Greene	79.2%	83.2%	80.5
	Izard	84.6%	90.7%	88.4%
	Lawrence	83.3%	81.1%	87.4%
	Mississippi	78.6%	88.9%	93.5%
	Randolph	91.3%	94.6%	94.0%
	Sharp	82.8%	84.3%	92.0
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>84.6%</b>	<b>89.1%</b>	<b>89.0%</b>

**Table A1**

**Percentage of Investigations With Timely Initiation**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 9</b>	Cleburne	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
	Crittenden	82.8%	74.9%	82.2%
	Cross	86.2%	80.2%	85.8%
	Independence	90.0%	81.3%	93.3%
	Jackson	91.2%	94.5%	94.2%
	Poinsett	87.7%	79.6%	86.3%
	Stone	87.4%	92.1%	94.0%
	White	91.6%	87.0%	82.2%
	Woodruff	86.5%	85.2%	89.8%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>89.2%</b>	<b>84.1%</b>	<b>86.9%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>	Arkansas	90.1%	91.2%	94.0%
	Ashley	92.8%	94.0%	91.6%
	Chicot	90.2%	81.9%	96.2%
	Desha	88.8%	90.9%	87.8%
	Drew	91.1%	95.3%	94.9%
	Lee	88.9%	70.0%	87.8%
	Monroe	81.8%	75.8%	86.2%
	Phillips	79.7%	80.5%	88.1%
	St. Francis	87.2%	81.6%	91.4%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>87.9%</b>	<b>85.6%</b>	<b>91.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>78.6%</b>	<b>80.5%</b>	<b>81.6%</b>

Table A2

## Percentage of Investigations With Timely Completion

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	58.7%	97.8%	96.9%
	Carroll	47.9%	92.1%	84.9%
	Madison	46.7%	87.6%	89.6%
	Washington	76.1%	95.6%	78.9%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>65.4%</b>	<b>96.1%</b>	<b>87.9%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	25.1%	67.6%	94.8%
	Franklin	24.3%	80.9%	70.8%
	Johnson	40.2%	72.5%	99.1%
	Logan	45.9%	41.2%	51.6%
	Scott	53.3%	34.6%	62.7%
	Sebastian	41.5%	71.3%	82.1%
	Yell	51.9%	72.5%	37.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>38.0%</b>	<b>67.8%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>
Area 3	Clark	98.7%	95.3%	93.3%
	Garland	74.9%	92.6%	75.3%
	Hot Spring	92.8%	94.0%	98.6%
	Howard	97.6%	98.0%	93.6%
	Montgomery	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Perry	65.9%	98.5%	88.7%
	Pike	98.9%	97.6%	100.0%
	Polk	92.6%	89.8%	96.0%
	Saline	52.6%	91.1%	79.6%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>74.6%</b>	<b>93.0%</b>	<b>83.7%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	22.7%	50.0%	42.1%
	Hempstead	28.0%	84.2%	86.5%
	Lafayette	13.3%	51.4%	53.2%
	Little River	34.6%	41.5%	26.0%
	Miller	31.7%	52.1%	50.0%
	Nevada	15.7%	91.2%	83.3%
	Ouachita	20.8%	66.5%	58.0%
	Sevier	50.7%	39.6%	27.8%
	Union	38.7%	75.3%	60.2%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>30.1%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>54.8%</b>

Table A2

## Percentage of Investigations With Timely Completion

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	48.8%	86.1%	85.3%
	Boone	82.1%	76.1%	82.5%
	Conway	68.5%	70.7%	85.6%
	Faulkner	63.1%	81.7%	86.8%
	Marion	47.5%	79.6%	98.2%
	Newton	80.6%	73.5%	76.2%
	Pope	88.6%	89.5%	67.8%
	Searcy	35.4%	60.0%	61.9%
	Van Buren	42.4%	66.0%	50.0%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>67.3%</b>	<b>80.2%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	51.5%	84.7%	79.6%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>51.5%</b>	<b>84.7%</b>	<b>79.6%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	89.4%	76.8%	70.6%
	Calhoun	53.3%	95.2%	92.9%
	Cleveland	20.5%	69.0%	79.4%
	Dallas	70.6%	93.8%	98.9%
	Grant	51.5%	76.7%	93.3%
	Jefferson	29.4%	77.5%	59.4%
	Lincoln	25.8%	64.0%	81.8%
	Lonoke	93.4%	97.9%	97.9%
	Prairie	88.6%	94.0%	98.5%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>65.6%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>85.4%</b>
Area 8	Clay	55.2%	99.2%	100.0%
	Craighead	97.3%	99.8%	99.9%
	Fulton	72.3%	93.8%	85.0%
	Greene	47.4%	79.0%	93.1%
	Izard	69.1%	76.7%	85.7%
	Lawrence	41.1%	85.1%	88.1%
	Mississippi	80.2%	97.9%	87.7%
	Randolph	96.5%	96.6%	98.8%
	Sharp	49.7%	64.7%	93.3%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>72.6%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>94.5%</b>

Table A2

Percentage of Investigations With Timely Completion

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	95.5%	98.8%	95.5%
	Crittenden	93.4%	91.0%	99.4%
	Cross	87.9%	84.5%	95.0%
	Independence	99.0%	94.3%	91.2%
	Jackson	94.2%	93.0%	97.4%
	Poinsett	94.5%	87.8%	86.3%
	Stone	91.9%	96.1%	98.0%
	White	85.3%	83.9%	92.6%
	Woodruff	84.6%	77.0%	98.3%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>91.5%</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>93.5%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	95.9%	98.2%	99.2%
	Ashley	81.6%	87.9%	95.8%
	Chicot	82.9%	95.8%	100.0%
	Desha	80.0%	93.9%	96.3%
	Drew	77.4%	91.5%	98.3%
	Lee	88.9%	98.0%	100.0%
	Monroe	81.8%	98.4%	96.6%
	Phillips	84.2%	98.7%	100.0%
	St. Francis	86.6%	97.3%	99.4%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>84.4%</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>98.4%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>64.1%</b>	<b>85.6%</b>	<b>84.4%</b>

Table A3

## Percentage of Investigations Substantiated

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	13.5%	13.6%	12.1%
	Carroll	23.9%	16.8%	23.4%
	Madison	19.8%	22.4%	33.0%
	Washington	20.6%	16.7%	16.8%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>17.4</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	19.4%	24.9%	19.1%
	Franklin	20.9%	29.6%	32.9%
	Johnson	24.7%	32.2%	25.6%
	Logan	32.4%	29.9%	21.9%
	Scott	28.6%	29.6%	20.2%
	Sebastian	25.1%	25.9%	25.8%
	Yell	30.6%	22.5%	24.7%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>24.5%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>
Area 3	Clark	11.8%	20.9%	26.0%
	Garland	21.2%	21.2%	22.5%
	Hot Spring	22.8%	18.9%	18.1%
	Howard	21.2%	17.0%	15.4%
	Montgomery	20.7%	11.1%	18.0%
	Perry	14.8%	26.2%	18.3%
	Pike	26.4%	23.2%	25.0%
	Polk	28.4%	23.1%	16.1%
	Saline	16.3%	14.8%	13.0%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>19.8</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	22.0%	31.0%	21.5%
	Hempstead	21.3%	24.3%	22.0%
	Lafayette	22.2%	18.9%	10.6%
	Little River	25.9%	19.5%	28.8%
	Miller	19.8%	18.9%	21.2%
	Nevada	14.3%	19.3%	16.7%
	Ouachita	20.2%	36.9%	22.5%
	Sevier	15.1%	34.9%	29.6%
	Union	24.5%	19.0%	22.4%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>21.0%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>



Table A3

## Percentage of Investigations Substantiated

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	23.3%	23.9%	25.2%
	Boone	25.9%	22.5%	30.7%
	Conway	39.8%	25.1%	25.3%
	Faulkner	20.3%	20.1%	18.7%
	Marion	23.7%	24.6%	30.1%
	Newton	18.1%	26.5%	31.0%
	Pope	21.8%	22.4%	25.5%
	Searcy	33.8%	15.4%	28.6%
	Van Buren	20.1%	28.7%	12.5%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>23.8%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	15.9%	17.0%	16.6%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>15.9%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	24.7%	30.4%	14.7%
	Calhoun	26.7%	19.0%	21.4%
	Cleveland	34.1%	31.0%	32.4%
	Dallas	20.6%	18.5%	18.9%
	Grant	22.1%	20.2%	13.3%
	Jefferson	14.4%	14.6%	16.9%
	Lincoln	13.6%	16.3%	21.2%
	Lonoke	20.4%	17.9%	15.8%
	Prairie	15.9%	13.4%	9.1%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>19.2%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>
Area 8	Clay	15.1%	12.9%	12.7%
	Craighead	15.9%	22.3%	30.1%
	Fulton	39.6%	36.1%	31.0%
	Greene	25.3%	20.5%	19.5%
	Izard	28.5%	25.6%	32.1%
	Lawrence	16.7%	13.5%	18.5%
	Mississippi	16.9%	18.8%	19.8%
	Randolph	26.0%	16.2%	24.0%
	Sharp	29.6%	30.1%	26.4%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>20.9%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>24.4%</b>

**Table A3**

**Percentage of Investigations Substantiated**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 9</b>	Cleburne	25.6%	29.7%	24.2%
	Crittenden	17.0%	21.4%	31.1%
	Cross	31.9%	19.0%	28.4%
	Independence	22.4%	21.4%	22.6%
	Jackson	30.7%	29.7%	26.0%
	Poinsett	30.7%	16.2%	25.6%
	Stone	27.0%	21.1%	24.0%
	White	19.1%	21.9%	19.1%
	Woodruff	28.8%	19.7%	33.9%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>23.3%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>	Arkansas	14.0%	13.3%	15.8%
	Ashley	26.4%	27.6%	30.3%
	Chicot	30.5%	27.8%	15.1%
	Desha	26.3%	13.6%	19.5%
	Drew	29.5%	21.7%	22.9%
	Lee	31.1%	28.0%	18.4%
	Monroe	31.8%	24.2%	29.3%
	Phillips	26.6%	36.2%	25.7%
	St. Francis	36.0%	33.3%	23.4%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>27.9%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>20.7%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>

Table A4

## Percentage of Child Maltreatment Victims Who Experienced a Recurrence Within 12 Months of Initial Report

National Standard: 9.1%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	5.1%	5.7%	3.1%
	Carroll	5.2%	13.6%	6.8%
	Madison	3.6%	1.7%	6.1%
	Washington	9.1%	4.0%	7.4%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>7.0%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	8.5%	6.1%	7.9%
	Franklin	9.1%	8.3%	5.1%
	Johnson	9.9%	9.1%	6.3%
	Logan	14.6%	2.3%	5.0%
	Scott	4.9%	9.8%	10.0%
	Sebastian	6.2%	3.1%	5.2%
	Yell	4.8%	3.9%	1.8%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>8.0%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
Area 3	Clark	7.0%	0.0%	21.1%
	Garland	7.5%	4.8%	2.6%
	Hot Spring	6.7%	6.2%	4.6%
	Howard	4.8%	7.1%	3.2%
	Montgomery	3.7%	0.0%	12.5%
	Perry	2.8%	9.1%	3.2%
	Pike	0.0%	5.7%	25.0%
	Polk	6.4%	10.2%	5.7%
	Saline	5.6%	4.2%	5.5%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>6.2%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Hempstead	1.4%	7.4%	0.0%
	Lafayette	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Little River	4.0%	0.0%	11.8%
	Miller	0.8%	5.0%	0.0%
	Nevada	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Ouachita	2.4%	3.8%	7.1%
	Sevier	3.7%	0.0%	7.1%
	Union	1.2%	3.9%	2.5%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>2.3%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>

Table A4

Percentage of Child Maltreatment Victims Who Experienced a Recurrence Within 12 Months of Initial Report

National Standard: 9.1%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	7.3%	8.2%	5.9%
	Boone	16.2%	14.5%	13.8%
	Conway	6.7%	10.5%	2.4%
	Faulkner	7.5%	4.3%	4.9%
	Marion	9.8%	8.9%	32.1%
	Newton	12.0%	8.3%	35.3%
	Pope	9.8%	5.3%	4.7%
	Searcy	7.7%	4.4%	13.3%
	Van Buren	3.9%	7.1%	7.5%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>9.2%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	3.2%	2.4%	3.4%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%
	Cleveland	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Dallas	10.5%	5.6%	0.0%
	Grant	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%
	Jefferson	1.8%	0.8%	2.4%
	Lincoln	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Lonoke	5.8%	3.3%	5.4%
	Prairie	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>4.2%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
Area 8	Clay	20.0%	4.7%	0.0%
	Craighead	4.6%	3.0%	4.4%
	Fulton	5.4%	7.4%	0.0%
	Greene	8.5%	10.1%	5.9%
	Izard	10.5%	8.3%	3.5%
	Lawrence	8.5%	0.0%	3.0%
	Mississippi	3.8%	1.5%	4.6%
	Randolph	2.1%	6.4%	0.0%
	Sharp	8.3%	4.6%	9.7%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>6.9%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>

Table A4

Percentage of Child Maltreatment Victims Who Experienced a Recurrence Within 12 Months of Initial Report

National Standard: 9.1%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	3.9%	14.5%	5.3%
	Crittenden	3.4%	1.8%	2.0%
	Cross	3.5%	3.7%	5.9%
	Independence	5.5%	2.8%	5.2%
	Jackson	5.0%	7.1%	12.7%
	Poinsett	12.1%	6.3%	3.9%
	Stone	8.3%	10.0%	8.0%
	White	5.8%	3.4%	14.8%
	Woodruff	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>5.8%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
	Ashley	1.4%	10.4%	9.4%
	Chicot	9.1%	1.6%	2.9%
	Desha	2.6%	3.2%	0.0%
	Drew	1.5%	8.2%	18.0%
	Lee	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
	Monroe	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Phillips	6.5%	2.9%	4.3%
	St. Francis	7.4%	1.4%	2.6%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>4.2%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>6.2%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>

Table A5

## Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Initiation

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	52.0%	71.2%	56.2%
	Carroll	47.2%	68.7%	61.8%
	Madison	45.8%	68.8%	57.9%
	Washington	53.7%	69.6%	56.8%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>52.3%</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>56.9%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	84.2%	59.3%	38.6%
	Franklin	67.4%	51.4%	25.7%
	Johnson	54.0%	69.8%	41.2%
	Logan	53.6%	39.3%	22.0%
	Scott	66.7%	76.2%	52.6%
	Sebastian	75.3%	72.1%	73.6%
	Yell	34.5%	51.9%	38.1%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>70.0%</b>	<b>63.2%</b>	<b>52.8%</b>
Area 3	Clark	77.1%	88.1%	81.8%
	Garland	77.5%	87.0%	82.9%
	Hot Spring	60.0%	77.6%	79.5%
	Howard	53.8%	90.0%	80.0%
	Montgomery	73.3%	82.4%	68.8%
	Perry	60.0%	36.8%	45.8%
	Pike	60.0%	92.3%	83.3%
	Polk	75.9%	78.9%	65.6%
	Saline	57.9%	59.4%	67.6%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>68.5%</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	87.5%	46.4%	80.0%
	Hempstead	89.7%	60.7%	67.4%
	Lafayette	100.0%	44.4%	80.0%
	Little River	100.0%	77.8%	94.7%
	Miller	83.8%	86.4%	72.5%
	Nevada	95.2%	60.0%	84.2%
	Ouachita	88.2%	60.6%	65.9%
	Sevier	86.7%	92.6%	87.5%
	Union	80.8%	65.0%	76.8%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>87.0%</b>	<b>70.9%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>

Table A5

## Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Initiation

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	56.1%	89.2%	95.9%
	Boone	61.3%	84.5%	88.0%
	Conway	70.3%	91.3%	88.1%
	Faulkner	46.2%	65.5%	75.1%
	Marion	62.1%	96.6%	92.3%
	Newton	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%
	Pope	80.2%	81.0%	82.5%
	Searcy	64.3%	81.8%	69.2%
	Van Buren	92.9%	60.6%	79.2%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>62.5%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>83.6%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	68.5%	52.7%	51.1%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>68.5%</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>51.1%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	69.2%	20.0%	57.1%
	Calhoun	0.0%	25.0%	40.0%
	Cleveland	76.9%	7.7%	50.0%
	Dallas	54.5%	31.3%	22.2%
	Grant	55.6%	65.0%	66.7%
	Jefferson	42.1%	25.5%	33.3%
	Lincoln	41.2%	40.0%	53.8%
	Lonoke	66.9%	68.5%	65.0%
	Prairie	81.8%	75.0%	63.2%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>56.9%</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>54.4%</b>
Area 8	Clay	62.5%	68.2%	83.3%
	Craighead	88.5%	65.7%	77.3%
	Fulton	86.4%	40.0%	56.0%
	Greene	50.0%	74.8%	93.1%
	Izard	73.7%	54.1%	57.7%
	Lawrence	83.3%	62.0%	85.4%
	Mississippi	74.4%	70.3%	83.6%
	Randolph	65.2%	67.6%	85.2%
	Sharp	61.1%	43.8%	55.9%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>70.7%</b>	<b>66.3%</b>	<b>81.4%</b>

**Table A5**

**Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Initiation**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 9</b>	Cleburne	82.5%	67.4%	39.6%
	Crittenden	44.1%	37.2%	39.2%
	Cross	57.1%	47.6%	53.6%
	Independence	53.6%	63.5%	34.4%
	Jackson	73.1%	56.3%	50.0%
	Poinsett	43.8%	47.6%	55.7%
	Stone	91.3%	67.9%	79.2%
	White	86.0%	63.2%	38.3%
	Woodruff	44.4%	30.0%	50.0%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>65.1%</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>44.6%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>	Arkansas	77.8%	82.4%	87.5%
	Ashley	88.6%	70.8%	93.1%
	Chicot	85.7%	76.9%	88.2%
	Desha	75.0%	88.9%	70.4%
	Drew	83.3%	90.5%	86.4%
	Lee	50.0%	75.0%	90.0%
	Monroe	66.7%	76.9%	85.7%
	Phillips	80.0%	71.9%	68.2%
	St. Francis	81.8%	86.4%	100.0%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>80.9%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>65.6%</b>	<b>65.4%</b>	<b>63.2%</b>



Table A6

## Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Completion

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	30.8%	76.9%	94.1%
	Carroll	32.1%	76.1%	92.1%
	Madison	25.0%	71.9%	97.4%
	Washington	25.7%	64.1%	95.1%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>28.4%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>94.6%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	93.1%	89.8%	70.3%
	Franklin	95.3%	77.1%	62.9%
	Johnson	86.0%	88.7%	80.9%
	Logan	60.7%	48.2%	63.4%
	Scott	66.7%	95.2%	97.4%
	Sebastian	93.3%	88.8%	98.5%
	Yell	41.4%	44.4%	59.5%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>86.8%</b>	<b>81.9%</b>	<b>83.5%</b>
Area 3	Clark	100.0%	95.2%	97.0%
	Garland	98.9%	99.0%	95.7%
	Hot Spring	98.0%	92.1%	93.2%
	Howard	84.6%	95.0%	92.0%
	Montgomery	100.0%	100.0%	93.8%
	Perry	95.0%	94.7%	100.0%
	Pike	93.3%	100.0%	95.8%
	Polk	100.0%	97.4%	96.7%
	Saline	88.9%	85.5%	88.6%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>95.7%</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>93.7%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	29.2%	32.1%	80.0%
	Hempstead	37.9%	60.7%	71.7%
	Lafayette	30.0%	44.4%	100.0%
	Little River	72.7%	83.3%	94.7%
	Miller	47.5%	71.6%	93.8%
	Nevada	19.0%	73.3%	78.9%
	Ouachita	8.8%	60.6%	75.6%
	Sevier	53.3%	100.0%	84.4%
	Union	23.1%	60.0%	85.5%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>34.1%</b>	<b>66.0%</b>	<b>84.2%</b>

**Table A6**

**Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Completion**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 5</b>	Baxter	86.0%	92.3%	98.6%
	Boone	86.7%	96.4%	95.7%
	Conway	100.0%	100.0%	95.2%
	Faulkner	97.4%	99.4%	99.4%
	Marion	93.1%	86.2%	96.2%
	Newton	93.3%	100.0%	100.0%
	Pope	99.0%	99.1%	98.2%
	Searcy	71.4%	90.9%	100.0%
	Van Buren	96.4%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>93.9%</b>	<b>97.3%</b>	<b>98.1%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>	Pulaski	88.0%	93.1%	89.1%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>88.0%</b>	<b>93.1%</b>	<b>89.1%</b>
<b>Area 7</b>	Bradley	84.6%	66.7%	78.6%
	Calhoun	75.0%	75.0%	80.0%
	Cleveland	76.9%	23.1%	63.6%
	Dallas	81.8%	100.0%	100.0%
	Grant	75.0%	80.0%	55.6%
	Jefferson	80.0%	86.2%	55.9%
	Lincoln	70.6%	33.3%	76.9%
	Lonoke	24.4%	47.6%	61.4%
	Prairie	18.2%	58.3%	68.4%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>55.4%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>62.7%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>	Clay	95.0%	93.2%	83.3%
	Craighead	75.7%	94.9%	97.3%
	Fulton	68.2%	90.0%	100.0%
	Greene	96.3%	98.7%	88.3%
	Izard	63.2%	94.6%	100.0%
	Lawrence	30.6%	96.0%	93.8%
	Mississippi	77.8%	87.0%	93.6%
	Randolph	100.0%	94.6%	96.3%
	Sharp	61.1%	81.3%	100.0%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>80.1%</b>	<b>93.4%</b>	<b>93.7%</b>

Table A6

## Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Completion

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	52.5%	34.9%	49.1%
	Crittenden	36.8%	39.7%	45.9%
	Cross	53.6%	57.1%	46.4%
	Independence	37.5%	47.3%	73.8%
	Jackson	26.9%	18.8%	70.6%
	Poinsett	54.8%	37.8%	46.4%
	Stone	52.2%	78.6%	91.7%
	White	40.5%	31.9%	46.7%
	Woodruff	22.2%	20.0%	43.8%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>43.2%</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>52.9%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Ashley	28.6%	41.7%	58.6%
	Chicot	28.6%	61.5%	35.3%
	Desha	58.3%	61.1%	33.3%
	Drew	25.0%	71.4%	31.8%
	Lee	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Monroe	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%
	Phillips	93.3%	96.9%	100.0%
	St. Francis	95.5%	95.5%	89.5%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>63.4%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>70.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>66.6%</b>	<b>80.1%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>

**Table A7**

**Number of In-Home Protective Services Cases at End of Year**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 1</b>	Benton	91	78	98
	Carroll	17	16	17
	Madison	11	25	23
	Washington	255	173	142
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>374</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>280</b>
<b>Area 2</b>	Crawford	84	69	56
	Franklin	13	16	27
	Johnson	42	33	8
	Logan	44	40	25
	Scott	21	8	14
	Sebastian	135	118	91
	Yell	27	17	19
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>366</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>240</b>
<b>Area 3</b>	Clark	12	15	8
	Garland	62	81	72
	Hot Spring	54	18	23
	Howard	3	4	5
	Montgomery	7	5	1
	Perry	11	8	12
	Pike	12	5	5
	Polk	19	17	10
	Saline	45	35	44
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>225</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>Area 4</b>	Columbia	13	22	14
	Hempstead	21	23	21
	Lafayette	5	1	2
	Little River	10	12	9
	Miller	65	45	29
	Nevada	18	16	6
	Ouachita	20	32	12
	Sevier	8	18	15
	Union	19	24	15
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>179</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>123</b>

Table A7

## Number of In-Home Protective Services Cases at End of Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	35	41	41
	Boone	49	43	40
	Conway	36	29	20
	Faulkner	78	74	55
	Marion	20	16	26
	Newton	3	10	7
	Pope	41	39	42
	Searcy	9	7	3
	Van Buren	14	9	8
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>285</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>242</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	319	224	191
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>319</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>191</b>
Area 7	Bradley	2	6	1
	Calhoun	3	0	3
	Cleveland	5	7	2
	Dallas	0	2	5
	Grant	7	15	4
	Jefferson	55	55	49
	Lincoln	3	6	2
	Lonoke	95	93	36
	Prairie	8	8	4
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>178</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>106</b>
Area 8	Clay	6	13	10
	Craighead	85	83	99
	Fulton	19	20	8
	Greene	112	89	36
	Izard	7	15	10
	Lawrence	20	15	22
	Mississippi	27	30	35
	Randolph	14	20	16
	Sharp	24	31	13
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>314</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>249</b>

**Table A7**

**Number of In-Home Protective Services Cases at End of Year**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 9</b>	Cleburne	24	27	13
	Crittenden	38	48	35
	Cross	17	14	8
	Independence	40	37	20
	Jackson	26	20	18
	Poinsett	37	20	23
	Stone	7	13	15
	White	92	96	76
	Woodruff	3	8	14
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>284</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>Area 10</b>	Arkansas	5	10	5
	Ashley	21	18	14
	Chicot	11	13	5
	Desha	10	6	8
	Drew	9	11	7
	Lee	4	6	2
	Monroe	7	9	4
	Phillips	31	37	30
	St. Francis	33	39	22
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>131</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>STATEWIDE TOTAL</b>		<b>2,655</b>	<b>2,406</b>	<b>1,930</b>

Table A8

## Number of Children in Care at End of Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	157	146	128
	Carroll	58	40	59
	Madison	47	51	52
	Washington	262	310	307
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>524</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>546</b>
Area 2	Crawford	139	146	115
	Franklin	55	38	28
	Johnson	60	50	45
	Logan	80	99	78
	Scott	45	41	44
	Sebastian	732	683	529
	Yell	53	55	42
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>1,164</b>	<b>1,112</b>	<b>881</b>
Area 3	Clark	26	30	11
	Garland	112	122	130
	Hot Spring	84	74	55
	Howard	5	8	5
	Montgomery	4	6	6
	Perry	5	11	6
	Pike	7	21	25
	Polk	25	36	36
	Saline	62	71	62
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>330</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>336</b>
Area 4	Columbia	36	51	28
	Hempstead	18	14	18
	Lafayette	12	12	7
	Little River	12	16	22
	Miller	112	93	85
	Nevada	0	2	8
	Ouachita	27	35	38
	Sevier	11	31	33
	Union	76	65	40
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>304</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>279</b>

Table A8

## Number of Children in Care at End of Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	47	39	28
	Boone	80	65	43
	Conway	68	77	47
	Faulkner	145	140	114
	Marion	18	13	13
	Newton	12	9	2
	Pope	67	55	56
	Searcy	12	6	7
	Van Buren	31	23	18
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>480</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>328</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	540	459	372
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>540</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>372</b>
Area 7	Bradley	11	11	10
	Calhoun	7	4	2
	Cleveland	12	8	16
	Dallas	11	18	15
	Grant	34	27	24
	Jefferson	100	77	82
	Lincoln	12	5	3
	Lonoke	82	91	121
	Prairie	4	4	4
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>273</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>277</b>
Area 8	Clay	39	24	19
	Craighead	161	145	130
	Fulton	45	43	34
	Greene	179	143	114
	Izard	37	33	25
	Lawrence	44	32	23
	Mississippi	66	61	94
	Randolph	36	31	43
	Sharp	57	54	44
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>664</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>526</b>



Table A8

## Number of Children in Care at End of Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	46	25	36
	Crittenden	106	74	89
	Cross	39	40	49
	Independence	65	56	72
	Jackson	48	63	50
	Poinsett	122	132	114
	Stone	26	14	19
	White	85	98	103
	Woodruff	15	25	24
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>552</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>556</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	19	18	23
	Ashley	19	22	25
	Chicot	18	27	18
	Desha	20	9	4
	Drew	34	42	31
	Lee	11	11	7
	Monroe	6	14	21
	Phillips	36	50	37
	St. Francis	37	43	43
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>200</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>STATEWIDE TOTAL</b>		<b>5,031</b>	<b>4,817</b>	<b>4,310</b>

Table A9

## Percentage of Children in Care Placed in Close Proximity to Home County as of End of the Year

Area	County	CY 2016		CY 2017		CY 2018	
		Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County
Area 1	Benton	76.1%	90.3%	69.7%	85.3%	62.7%	83.1%
	Carroll	45.8%	66.7%	45.5%	69.7%	36.7%	65.3%
	Madison	25.0%	86.4%	19.6%	93.5%	39.5%	97.4%
	Washington	46.2%	80.9%	56.2%	84.5%	54.3%	88.7%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>52.2%</b>	<b>82.4%</b>	<b>54.9%</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>85.6%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	35.3%	40.3%	37.3%	46.6%	39.3%	47.2%
	Franklin	40.9%	65.9%	17.4%	52.2%	27.3%	81.8%
	Johnson	20.4%	40.8%	30.4%	60.9%	44.7%	68.4%
	Logan	13.1%	42.6%	9.9%	60.5%	40.7%	79.7%
	Scott	43.2%	67.6%	43.3%	53.3%	17.5%	20.0%
	Sebastian	37.0%	55.5%	42.7%	57.3%	37.9%	56.2%
	Yell	29.7%	43.2%	42.5%	55.0%	32.1%	46.4%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>34.6%</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>
Area 3	Clark	27.3%	45.5%	21.7%	21.7%	30.0%	50.0%
	Garland	41.5%	53.2%	40.9%	52.7%	40.9%	66.4%
	Hot Spring	25.0%	55.6%	28.8%	81.8%	3.2%	67.7%
	Howard	50.0%	50.0%	40.0%	40.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Montgomery	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	Perry	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%
	Pike	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	55.0%	17.6%	29.4%
	Polk	42.1%	63.2%	37.0%	66.7%	54.5%	69.7%
	Saline	77.6%	87.8%	59.2%	87.8%	58.8%	82.4%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>41.6%</b>	<b>59.9%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>68.1%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	59.4%	68.8%	40.5%	45.2%	26.1%	56.5%
	Hempstead	38.5%	69.2%	20.0%	90.0%	20.0%	73.3%
	Lafayette	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%
	Little River	37.5%	62.5%	6.7%	53.3%	10.0%	85.0%
	Miller	34.8%	42.4%	39.7%	41.1%	39.0%	42.9%
	Nevada	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	57.1%	71.4%
	Ouachita	16.7%	50.0%	39.3%	64.3%	12.9%	38.7%
	Sevier	22.2%	55.6%	17.9%	64.3%	23.3%	46.7%
	Union	67.7%	72.3%	61.8%	63.6%	35.7%	35.7%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>44.3%</b>	<b>57.6%</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>54.4%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>

Table A9

## Percentage of Children in Care Placed in Close Proximity to Home County as of End of the Year

Area	County	CY 2016		CY 2017		CY 2018	
		Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County
Area 5	Baxter	46.7%	63.3%	68.0%	72.0%	68.4%	73.7%
	Boone	58.9%	62.5%	62.2%	75.6%	46.7%	53.3%
	Conway	41.5%	77.4%	42.9%	71.4%	27.3%	75.8%
	Faulkner	78.8%	93.2%	76.9%	90.7%	78.4%	89.8%
	Marion	52.6%	68.4%	36.4%	63.6%	10.0%	90.0%
	Newton	16.7%	50.0%	0.0%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Pope	57.6%	69.5%	38.8%	51.0%	50.0%	54.5%
	Searcy	8.3%	66.7%	0.0%	80.0%	42.9%	57.1%
	Van Buren	51.9%	88.9%	35.0%	75.0%	45.5%	81.8%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>57.8%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>74.5%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	77.5%	87.5%	75.3%	87.6%	72.4%	90.0%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>77.5%</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>87.6%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>90.0%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	0.0%	28.6%	11.1%	44.4%	14.3%	57.1%
	Calhoun	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Cleveland	30.0%	50.0%	0.0%	71.4%	60.0%	80.0%
	Dallas	37.5%	50.0%	21.4%	28.6%	16.7%	25.0%
	Grant	36.0%	52.0%	15.8%	73.7%	5.6%	61.1%
	Jefferson	73.4%	87.5%	65.3%	91.8%	66.1%	86.4%
	Lincoln	18.2%	100.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Lonoke	65.6%	73.4%	59.0%	87.2%	62.8%	86.2%
	Prairie	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>53.5%</b>	<b>71.7%</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>78.3%</b>	<b>52.1%</b>	<b>76.1%</b>
Area 8	Clay	26.7%	50.0%	35.7%	78.6%	27.3%	54.5%
	Craighead	62.5%	77.5%	53.5%	76.8%	51.3%	76.3%
	Fulton	51.4%	80.0%	63.6%	90.9%	54.2%	87.5%
	Greene	40.0%	66.4%	43.3%	65.4%	53.3%	76.0%
	Izard	38.1%	85.7%	43.3%	76.7%	47.6%	66.7%
	Lawrence	40.6%	78.1%	40.0%	83.3%	45.0%	85.0%
	Mississippi	44.1%	67.8%	58.3%	79.2%	58.8%	68.8%
	Randolph	55.6%	88.9%	40.0%	70.0%	58.8%	76.5%
	Sharp	30.8%	59.0%	31.7%	56.1%	25.7%	48.6%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>45.9%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>

Table A9

## Percentage of Children in Care Placed in Close Proximity to Home County as of End of the Year

Area	County	CY 2016		CY 2017		CY 2018	
		Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County
Area 9	Cleburne	59.5%	89.2%	65.0%	85.0%	66.7%	90.9%
	Crittenden	25.6%	50.0%	34.4%	60.7%	33.9%	58.9%
	Cross	42.9%	45.7%	53.3%	53.3%	46.3%	56.1%
	Independence	44.2%	63.5%	45.5%	70.5%	44.7%	68.1%
	Jackson	13.2%	65.8%	13.5%	67.3%	22.0%	68.3%
	Poinsett	23.8%	47.6%	37.3%	71.6%	23.3%	61.1%
	Stone	17.6%	70.6%	30.8%	76.9%	31.3%	81.3%
	White	45.0%	61.7%	38.3%	65.4%	45.8%	62.7%
	Woodruff	33.3%	75.0%	14.3%	66.7%	15.0%	35.0%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>33.1%</b>	<b>58.4%</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	75.0%	93.8%	92.9%	92.9%	71.4%	90.5%
	Ashley	16.7%	61.1%	21.1%	42.1%	22.7%	59.1%
	Chicot	50.0%	57.1%	47.6%	71.4%	12.5%	37.5%
	Desha	33.3%	72.2%	33.3%	88.9%	0.0%	0.0%
	Drew	34.5%	58.6%	54.8%	61.3%	50.0%	63.6%
	Lee	40.0%	40.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%
	Monroe	60.0%	80.0%	36.4%	63.6%	22.2%	72.2%
	Phillips	32.1%	71.4%	43.2%	67.6%	54.8%	83.9%
	St. Francis	42.9%	68.6%	33.3%	56.4%	37.8%	59.5%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>39.9%</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>63.8%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>66.5%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>46.5%</b>	<b>66.9%</b>	<b>46.3%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>69.0%</b>

Table A10

## Percentage of Alleged Victims Removed in Response to Substantiated Report

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	21.4%	17.6%	19.3%
	Carroll	55.1%	30.8%	33.5%
	Madison	43.5%	45.8%	19.1%
	Washington	16.3%	20.3%	19.4%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>21.4%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	28.7%	24.2%	12.2%
	Franklin	40.5%	42.4%	23.0%
	Johnson	16.4%	28.8%	9.2%
	Logan	30.4%	49.6%	16.7%
	Scott	35.9%	13.3%	26.9%
	Sebastian	39.2%	34.4%	24.0%
	Yell	21.6%	31.9%	8.5%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>32.7%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>
Area 3	Clark	35.8%	25.4%	26.5%
	Garland	29.0%	22.3%	29.5%
	Hot Spring	20.7%	17.5%	14.4%
	Howard	15.5%	8.0%	20.0%
	Montgomery	6.3%	10.5%	9.5%
	Perry	6.3%	16.7%	3.7%
	Pike	11.1%	35.3%	22.2%
	Polk	6.3%	13.4%	11.1%
	Saline	19.0%	19.0%	12.4%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>21.3%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	61.5%	29.4%	8.7%
	Hempstead	24.7%	23.7%	10.1%
	Lafayette	55.0%	13.3%	7.1%
	Little River	25.0%	57.4%	24.3%
	Miller	33.1%	28.7%	19.9%
	Nevada	4.5%	0.0%	10.5%
	Ouachita	25.0%	21.8%	27.4%
	Sevier	21.4%	35.9%	37.0%
	Union	34.5%	41.2%	13.4%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>32.2%</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>

Table A10

## Percentage of Alleged Victims Removed in Response to Substantiated Report

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	15.3%	12.4%	17.6%
	Boone	23.3%	28.9%	12.2%
	Conway	24.2%	40.6%	19.2%
	Faulkner	28.2%	27.7%	21.9%
	Marion	28.8%	9.8%	17.8%
	Newton	34.5%	11.5%	15.2%
	Pope	16.4%	15.9%	12.7%
	Searcy	46.2%	8.3%	37.1%
	Van Buren	35.4%	19.7%	16.0%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>24.1%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	21.8%	19.6%	16.2%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>21.8%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	19.5%	15.8%	16.7%
	Calhoun	16.7%	0.0%	46.2%
	Cleveland	50.0%	28.6%	57.1%
	Dallas	30.4%	13.8%	0.0%
	Grant	37.5%	19.7%	24.3%
	Jefferson	28.4%	24.2%	16.5%
	Lincoln	40.0%	32.0%	14.8%
	Lonoke	14.1%	21.1%	16.1%
	Prairie	16.7%	15.8%	20.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>22.7%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>
Area 8	Clay	38.9%	47.7%	15.2%
	Craighead	20.8%	21.5%	7.9%
	Fulton	36.1%	41.2%	21.1%
	Greene	34.0%	29.5%	26.8%
	Izard	37.7%	42.0%	37.8%
	Lawrence	30.8%	25.0%	10.9%
	Mississippi	14.9%	30.1%	29.4%
	Randolph	20.0%	25.0%	23.3%
	Sharp	33.1%	37.1%	38.2%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>27.6%</b>	<b>29.7%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>

Table A10

## Percentage of Alleged Victims Removed in Response to Substantiated Report

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	43.7%	19.0%	36.8%
	Crittenden	29.7%	12.2%	18.5%
	Cross	13.2%	44.4%	31.5%
	Independence	28.7%	28.0%	27.7%
	Jackson	21.2%	33.8%	25.0%
	Poinsett	17.5%	39.3%	40.2%
	Stone	19.6%	27.5%	21.6%
	White	31.1%	29.6%	22.3%
	Woodruff	19.2%	44.1%	40.0%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>26.6%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	20.9%	22.9%	19.6%
	Ashley	27.1%	18.3%	24.1%
	Chicot	25.4%	12.8%	25.0%
	Desha	35.1%	13.6%	10.0%
	Drew	29.3%	35.7%	26.2%
	Lee	27.3%	18.8%	10.5%
	Monroe	20.6%	3.1%	11.5%
	Phillips	24.8%	21.6%	10.9%
	St. Francis	13.6%	15.6%	9.4%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>23.8%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>25.3%</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>

Table A11

## Percentage of Children in Care Placed With Relatives as of End of the Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	34.8%	34.1%	27.8%
	Carroll	37.7%	24.3%	39.6%
	Madison	39.1%	44.9%	21.6%
	Washington	22.8%	30.7%	26.2%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>29.5%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	29.0%	29.4%	33.6%
	Franklin	44.0%	32.3%	65.4%
	Johnson	14.8%	30.6%	34.1%
	Logan	13.6%	22.8%	37.7%
	Scott	40.5%	32.5%	20.9%
	Sebastian	30.8%	27.9%	22.3%
	Yell	35.6%	25.0%	18.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>29.9%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>
Area 3	Clark	21.7%	24.0%	45.5%
	Garland	23.9%	24.5%	14.5%
	Hot Spring	27.2%	31.1%	22.6%
	Howard	0.0%	37.5%	0.0%
	Montgomery	25.0%	50.0%	40.0%
	Perry	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%
	Pike	28.6%	28.6%	41.7%
	Polk	4.0%	39.4%	31.4%
	Saline	21.1%	14.1%	23.3%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>21.8%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	30.6%	27.7%	14.8%
	Hempstead	0.0%	42.9%	50.0%
	Lafayette	41.7%	8.3%	14.3%
	Little River	36.4%	6.7%	38.1%
	Miller	21.5%	24.1%	24.4%
	Nevada	N/A	50.0%	75.0%
	Ouachita	22.2%	50.0%	38.2%
	Sevier	0.0%	42.9%	27.3%
	Union	22.7%	20.6%	25.0%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>22.4%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>29.6%</b>



Table A11

## Percentage of Children in Care Placed With Relatives as of End of the Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	20.0%	24.2%	36.0%
	Boone	19.7%	32.7%	26.2%
	Conway	30.8%	27.5%	11.4%
	Faulkner	33.1%	26.0%	31.0%
	Marion	68.4%	61.5%	16.7%
	Newton	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%
	Pope	33.3%	20.8%	16.7%
	Searcy	33.3%	0.0%	85.7%
	Van Buren	33.3%	23.8%	37.5%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>30.6%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	17.0%	23.6%	18.8%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>17.0%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	10.0%	27.3%	40.0%
	Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
	Cleveland	58.3%	71.4%	50.0%
	Dallas	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Grant	40.6%	36.0%	50.0%
	Jefferson	36.6%	33.8%	43.6%
	Lincoln	41.7%	20.0%	33.3%
	Lonoke	25.0%	28.6%	38.7%
	Prairie	50.0%	0.0%	75.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>31.5%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>40.2%</b>
Area 8	Clay	35.5%	26.1%	22.2%
	Craighead	32.0%	34.4%	32.2%
	Fulton	42.9%	40.5%	53.6%
	Greene	36.0%	32.3%	30.1%
	Izard	25.8%	39.4%	45.8%
	Lawrence	27.9%	34.4%	40.9%
	Mississippi	25.4%	35.1%	51.2%
	Randolph	34.3%	22.2%	28.6%
	Sharp	37.3%	42.6%	26.8%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>33.3%</b>	<b>34.4%</b>	<b>36.3%</b>

Table A11

## Percentage of Children in Care Placed With Relatives as of End of the Year

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	31.0%	26.1%	29.4%
	Crittenden	19.8%	37.3%	27.1%
	Cross	35.9%	31.4%	42.9%
	Independence	26.7%	28.8%	18.6%
	Jackson	28.9%	23.3%	38.6%
	Poinsett	27.8%	34.7%	30.6%
	Stone	26.1%	21.4%	29.4%
	White	4.7%	4.3%	11.5%
	Woodruff	41.7%	21.7%	21.7%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>23.6%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>26.4%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	33.3%	25.0%	26.1%
	Ashley	5.3%	25.0%	20.0%
	Chicot	38.9%	52.0%	41.2%
	Desha	40.0%	44.4%	33.3%
	Drew	27.3%	30.6%	13.3%
	Lee	18.2%	20.0%	20.0%
	Monroe	33.3%	7.7%	50.0%
	Phillips	32.4%	34.8%	44.1%
	St. Francis	41.7%	46.5%	42.1%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>31.3%</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>27.4%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>

Table A12

## Placement Stability Rate (Moves Per 1,000 Days in Foster Care)

National Standard: 4.12

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	7.69	6.35	6.19
	Carroll	7.21	3.20	7.28
	Madison	7.57	5.49	2.68
	Washington	7.34	8.27	5.13
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>7.46</b>	<b>7.01</b>	<b>5.52</b>
Area 2	Crawford	9.33	7.49	8.10
	Franklin	12.00	7.09	6.77
	Johnson	7.34	8.69	5.39
	Logan	8.27	5.67	5.46
	Scott	7.12	5.48	4.99
	Sebastian	8.13	6.90	5.18
	Yell	10.98	6.04	4.69
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>8.56</b>	<b>6.89</b>	<b>5.67</b>
Area 3	Clark	5.78	6.98	4.33
	Garland	8.11	5.15	6.03
	Hot Spring	6.36	5.46	3.02
	Howard	4.66	12.35	3.00
	Montgomery	7.27	16.64	4.74
	Perry	0.00	2.18	14.63
	Pike	8.67	10.68	9.54
	Polk	7.68	6.03	5.00
	Saline	4.08	6.59	4.77
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>6.44</b>	<b>6.45</b>	<b>5.24</b>
Area 4	Columbia	9.88	6.88	12.66
	Hempstead	6.79	3.90	12.68
	Lafayette	6.05	9.67	3.40
	Little River	6.64	8.39	7.74
	Miller	10.03	8.02	6.56
	Nevada	N/A	5.99	6.44
	Ouachita	10.75	6.58	5.31
	Sevier	8.91	6.22	6.73
	Union	8.04	9.83	7.66
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>8.87</b>	<b>7.52</b>	<b>7.02</b>

Table A12

## Placement Stability Rate (Moves Per 1,000 Days in Foster Care)

National Standard: 4.12

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	4.50	4.70	7.44
	Boone	9.32	8.13	4.61
	Conway	5.97	3.52	5.44
	Faulkner	6.20	6.23	6.09
	Marion	6.87	6.48	11.97
	Newton	20.83	10.16	0.00
	Pope	9.51	5.35	5.34
	Searcy	8.92	N/A	9.63
	Van Buren	6.12	4.39	1.90
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>7.50</b>	<b>5.71</b>	<b>6.19</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	5.19	5.01	4.96
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>5.19</b>	<b>5.01</b>	<b>4.96</b>
Area 7	Bradley	6.96	6.96	3.52
	Calhoun	7.77	9.71	0.00
	Cleveland	14.89	4.19	12.32
	Dallas	3.77	3.42	5.76
	Grant	5.50	12.04	6.57
	Jefferson	4.81	4.13	6.85
	Lincoln	7.16	10.29	8.30
	Lonoke	7.66	6.76	6.69
	Prairie	9.66	25.21	0.00
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>6.29</b>	<b>6.64</b>	<b>7.04</b>
Area 8	Clay	7.68	7.42	3.40
	Craighead	5.87	5.70	5.02
	Fulton	9.87	6.81	6.71
	Greene	7.32	7.36	6.81
	Izard	10.30	8.09	4.02
	Lawrence	7.90	7.32	10.08
	Mississippi	12.45	8.95	7.15
	Randolph	5.77	5.12	6.71
	Sharp	5.30	5.52	6.34
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>7.58</b>	<b>6.80</b>	<b>6.23</b>

Table A12

## Placement Stability Rate (Moves Per 1,000 Days in Foster Care)

National Standard: 4.12

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	6.16	9.50	4.83
	Crittenden	6.98	8.04	7.48
	Cross	7.45	6.36	8.62
	Independence	6.16	6.24	5.80
	Jackson	8.24	6.83	4.71
	Poinsett	7.16	6.71	8.12
	Stone	7.90	13.29	3.28
	White	5.80	4.76	6.41
	Woodruff	7.95	25.20	4.03
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>6.81</b>	<b>7.51</b>	<b>6.32</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	1.82	5.50	5.20
	Ashley	2.31	3.93	7.48
	Chicot	8.17	3.13	7.98
	Desha	5.58	9.59	2.61
	Drew	6.12	6.28	6.07
	Lee	5.59	7.19	0.00
	Monroe	4.47	10.74	2.19
	Phillips	6.59	3.33	3.06
	St. Francis	5.91	4.78	4.20
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>5.50</b>	<b>5.35</b>	<b>4.97</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>7.31</b>	<b>6.64</b>	<b>5.96</b>

Table A13

## Percentage of Children Who Achieved Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care

National Standard: 40.5%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	58.7%	72.2%	60.0%
	Carroll	42.6%	75.0%	58.3%
	Madison	52.0%	40.4%	42.4%
	Washington	43.7%	49.8%	54.2%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>49.4%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>55.3%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	43.2%	45.2%	44.7%
	Franklin	50.0%	66.7%	65.8%
	Johnson	55.8%	51.2%	54.6%
	Logan	37.5%	45.3%	33.3%
	Scott	42.9%	18.2%	17.4%
	Sebastian	29.3%	26.0%	34.1%
	Yell	9.1%	35.1%	31.3%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>35.4%</b>	<b>34.0%</b>	<b>38.4%</b>
Area 3	Clark	64.3%	65.2%	55.6%
	Garland	63.7%	64.3%	61.9%
	Hot Spring	25.9%	25.0%	32.5%
	Howard	55.6%	100.0%	85.7%
	Montgomery	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%
	Perry	42.9%	100.0%	85.7%
	Pike	100.0%	63.6%	25.0%
	Polk	33.3%	30.8%	33.3%
	Saline	36.6%	32.8%	60.8%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>48.5%</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>52.8%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	77.1%	58.5%	48.6%
	Hempstead	66.7%	88.9%	52.9%
	Lafayette	44.4%	53.9%	75.0%
	Little River	83.3%	61.5%	61.5%
	Miller	38.2%	50.0%	51.0%
	Nevada	100.0%	N/A	50.0%
	Ouachita	81.0%	50.0%	66.7%
	Sevier	38.5%	71.4%	58.8%
	Union	70.3%	55.9%	67.4%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>58.9%</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>58.7%</b>

Table A13

## Percentage of Children Who Achieved Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care

National Standard: 40.5%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	48.7%	77.4%	73.1%
	Boone	67.2%	56.0%	71.4%
	Conway	60.8%	45.8%	51.6%
	Faulkner	62.3%	64.1%	63.1%
	Marion	36.4%	18.2%	33.3%
	Newton	33.3%	41.7%	33.3%
	Pope	60.7%	51.0%	55.2%
	Searcy	83.3%	30.8%	N/A
	Van Buren	50.0%	25.0%	63.2%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>60.2%</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>61.4%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	31.3%	36.5%	38.5%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>31.3%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>38.5%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	71.4%	54.6%	33.3%
	Calhoun	14.3%	83.3%	100.0%
	Cleveland	75.0%	84.6%	87.5%
	Dallas	100.0%	46.7%	11.1%
	Grant	30.8%	37.9%	78.6%
	Jefferson	66.7%	68.0%	68.9%
	Lincoln	57.1%	42.9%	90.9%
	Lonoke	65.8%	69.4%	21.7%
	Prairie	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>64.6%</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>53.5%</b>
Area 8	Clay	71.4%	60.6%	65.0%
	Craighead	39.2%	36.4%	64.8%
	Fulton	52.6%	37.5%	50.0%
	Greene	61.3%	61.4%	71.1%
	Izard	44.4%	54.8%	60.0%
	Lawrence	56.0%	54.1%	45.5%
	Mississippi	58.0%	73.8%	59.4%
	Randolph	31.6%	62.9%	57.1%
	Sharp	55.6%	71.9%	48.8%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>52.5%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>61.6%</b>

Table A13

## Percentage of Children Who Achieved Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care

National Standard: 40.5%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	66.7%	65.3%	61.5%
	Crittenden	74.5%	51.0%	77.6%
	Cross	47.8%	33.3%	42.1%
	Independence	68.7%	47.4%	60.4%
	Jackson	66.7%	48.6%	36.0%
	Poinsett	58.4%	50.0%	61.6%
	Stone	50.0%	78.3%	84.2%
	White	58.3%	69.6%	56.7%
	Woodruff	85.7%	36.4%	50.0%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>64.3%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>59.6%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	60.0%	53.9%	30.8%
	Ashley	76.9%	59.1%	46.7%
	Chicot	90.9%	54.6%	64.7%
	Desha	78.3%	69.2%	87.5%
	Drew	65.0%	69.0%	82.8%
	Lee	0.0%	11.1%	100.0%
	Monroe	70.0%	57.1%	18.2%
	Phillips	80.0%	8.7%	33.3%
	St. Francis	50.0%	54.6%	38.5%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>68.0%</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>52.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>51.6%</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>52.7%</b>



Table A14

Percentage of Children in Care for 12 to 23 Months Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 43.6%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	65.4%	51.6%	52.0%
	Carroll	80.0%	75.0%	66.7%
	Madison	50.0%	100.0%	57.7%
	Washington	71.2%	56.1%	55.6%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>69.4%</b>	<b>60.1%</b>	<b>56.1%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	65.2%	51.3%	60.6%
	Franklin	58.3%	80.0%	100.0%
	Johnson	85.7%	81.8%	35.7%
	Logan	100.0%	57.9%	40.9%
	Scott	85.7%	57.1%	42.9%
	Sebastian	53.9%	53.5%	56.0%
	Yell	86.7%	66.7%	60.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>62.9%</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>55.1%</b>
Area 3	Clark	100.0%	60.0%	100.0%
	Garland	33.3%	31.6%	51.6%
	Hot Spring	45.5%	42.3%	33.3%
	Howard	N/A	100.0%	N/A
	Montgomery	N/A	N/A	100.0%
	Perry	80.0%	N/A	N/A
	Pike	100.0%	N/A	0.0%
	Polk	53.9%	0.0%	85.7%
	Saline	80.0%	77.8%	83.9%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>56.9%</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>61.0%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	25.0%	12.5%	80.0%
	Hempstead	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
	Lafayette	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Little River	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%
	Miller	47.4%	59.3%	12.5%
	Nevada	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ouachita	100.0%	100.0%	71.4%
	Sevier	66.7%	50.0%	N/A
	Union	40.0%	50.0%	75.0%
<b>Area 4</b>		<b>50.0%</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>56.9%</b>

Table A14

Percentage of Children in Care for 12 to 23 Months Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 43.6%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	54.6%	80.0%	25.0%
	Boone	69.2%	47.1%	68.8%
	Conway	30.8%	45.5%	92.0%
	Faulkner	81.3%	65.0%	66.7%
	Marion	66.7%	57.1%	100.0%
	Newton	N/A	50.0%	100.0%
	Pope	78.3%	29.4%	62.5%
	Searcy	N/A	0.0%	100.0%
	Van Buren	66.7%	85.7%	41.7%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>65.9%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>71.9%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	63.9%	55.6%	56.0%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>63.9%</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Calhoun	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Cleveland	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%
	Dallas	83.3%	N/A	85.7%
	Grant	N/A	87.5%	44.4%
	Jefferson	33.3%	57.1%	62.5%
	Lincoln	N/A	100.0%	0.0%
	Lonoke	41.2%	82.6%	71.4%
	Prairie	0.0%	N/A	100.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>44.1%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>58.5%</b>
Area 8	Clay	100.0%	83.3%	55.6%
	Craighead	58.6%	55.9%	45.2%
	Fulton	53.9%	42.9%	57.1%
	Greene	45.2%	36.4%	86.7%
	Izard	100.0%	83.3%	45.5%
	Lawrence	54.6%	85.7%	100.0%
	Mississippi	23.5%	58.8%	33.3%
	Randolph	66.7%	33.3%	80.0%
	Sharp	20.0%	75.0%	85.7%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>51.5%</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>64.0%</b>

**Table A14**

**Percentage of Children in Care for 12 to 23 Months Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months**

**National Standard: 43.6%**

<b>Area</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>CY 2016</b>	<b>CY 2017</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>
<b>Area 9</b>	Cleburne	75.0%	60.0%	57.1%
	Crittenden	54.6%	64.7%	33.3%
	Cross	0.0%	57.1%	77.8%
	Independence	89.5%	75.0%	84.6%
	Jackson	14.3%	80.0%	90.9%
	Poinsett	41.7%	52.5%	41.9%
	Stone	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	White	81.0%	76.9%	56.5%
	Woodruff	20.0%	N/A	83.3%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>60.2%</b>	<b>61.8%</b>	<b>56.5%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>	Arkansas	0.0%	83.3%	100.0%
	Ashley	33.3%	100.0%	50.0%
	Chicot	50.0%	100.0%	55.6%
	Desha	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Drew	75.0%	25.0%	37.5%
	Lee	N/A	100.0%	25.0%
	Monroe	100.0%	N/A	0.0%
	Phillips	0.0%	14.3%	5.9%
	St. Francis	100.0%	44.4%	55.6%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>41.4%</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>37.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>59.7%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>57.8%</b>

Table A15

Percentage of Children in Foster Care 24 Months or Longer Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 30.3%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	50.0%	50.0%	36.8%
	Carroll	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Madison	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Washington	40.9%	39.1%	23.8%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>42.6%</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	50.0%	26.7%	43.5%
	Franklin	N/A	60.0%	33.3%
	Johnson	54.6%	28.6%	85.7%
	Logan	50.0%	0.0%	36.4%
	Scott	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
	Sebastian	25.9%	37.8%	42.5%
	Yell	27.3%	10.0%	10.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>31.0%</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>42.3%</b>
Area 3	Clark	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%
	Garland	29.4%	10.5%	34.8%
	Hot Spring	16.7%	88.9%	23.1%
	Howard	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
	Montgomery	0.0%	100.0%	N/A
	Perry	33.3%	25.0%	50.0%
	Pike	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Polk	50.0%	44.4%	70.0%
	Saline	0.0%	66.7%	50.0%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>25.0%</b>	<b>34.6%</b>	<b>44.8%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
	Hempstead	0.0%	25.0%	20.0%
	Lafayette	N/A	0.0%	60.0%
	Little River	N/A	100.0%	N/A
	Miller	52.0%	16.7%	54.8%
	Nevada	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ouachita	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Sevier	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Union	18.2%	14.3%	30.8%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>37.5%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>

Table A15

Percentage of Children in Foster Care 24 Months or Longer Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 30.3%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%
	Boone	11.1%	75.0%	44.4%
	Conway	20.0%	23.1%	28.6%
	Faulkner	28.6%	42.9%	66.7%
	Marion	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%
	Newton	42.1%	N/A	100.0%
	Pope	66.7%	28.6%	33.3%
	Searcy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Van Buren	N/A	0.0%	N/A
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>29.2%</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>41.7%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	36.0%	36.7%	38.6%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>36.0%</b>	<b>36.7%</b>	<b>38.6%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	66.7%	0.0%	50.0%
	Calhoun	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
	Cleveland	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
	Dallas	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Grant	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%
	Jefferson	0.0%	14.3%	10.0%
	Lincoln	0.0%	N/A	N/A
	Lonoke	21.4%	61.1%	33.3%
	Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>17.4%</b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>
Area 8	Clay	N/A	N/A	100.0%
	Craighead	41.7%	28.6%	18.2%
	Fulton	0.0%	83.3%	50.0%
	Greene	11.1%	29.7%	27.3%
	Izard	0.0%	N/A	N/A
	Lawrence	18.2%	64.3%	0.0%
	Mississippi	100.0%	30.8%	66.7%
	Randolph	0.0%	25.0%	16.7%
	Sharp	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>24.6%</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>

Table A15

Percentage of Children in Foster Care 24 Months or Longer Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 30.3%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	33.3%	33.3%	40.0%
	Crittenden	50.0%	63.6%	30.0%
	Cross	42.9%	7.1%	46.7%
	Independence	50.0%	11.1%	40.0%
	Jackson	0.0%	46.7%	33.3%
	Poinsett	9.1%	42.9%	37.0%
	Stone	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
	White	42.3%	26.7%	12.5%
	Woodruff	50.0%	0.0%	40.0%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>36.9%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	50.0%	20.0%	0.0%
	Ashley	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Chicot	33.3%	28.6%	0.0%
	Desha	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
	Drew	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%
	Lee	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Monroe	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Phillips	30.8%	28.6%	33.3%
	St. Francis	20.0%	33.3%	25.0%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>21.4%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>31.4%</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>36.0%</b>

Table A16

## Percentage of Children Who Reentered Foster Care Within 12 Months

National Standard: 8.3%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	11.6%	13.8%	8.8%
	Carroll	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
	Madison	12.5%	7.7%	27.8%
	Washington	8.2%	24.3%	14.1%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>9.2%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	0.0%	11.8%	2.2%
	Franklin	5.9%	0.0%	14.3%
	Johnson	8.3%	12.5%	0.0%
	Logan	4.0%	6.7%	0.0%
	Scott	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
	Sebastian	7.1%	14.6%	3.7%
	Yell	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>5.8%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
Area 3	Clark	25.0%	22.2%	6.7%
	Garland	14.7%	5.5%	16.9%
	Hot Spring	21.7%	14.3%	9.1%
	Howard	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%
	Montgomery	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	Perry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pike	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	Polk	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Saline	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>17.8%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	18.2%	7.4%	4.3%
	Hempstead	26.7%	18.8%	0.0%
	Lafayette	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	Little River	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Miller	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
	Nevada	0.0%	20.0%	N/A
	Ouachita	29.4%	23.5%	6.3%
	Sevier	7.7%	20.0%	0.0%
	Union	4.2%	4.8%	21.6%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>10.9%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>

Table A16

## Percentage of Children Who Reentered Foster Care Within 12 Months

National Standard: 8.3%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	13.3%	11.8%	16.7%
	Boone	22.5%	13.3%	7.3%
	Conway	5.6%	4.2%	9.1%
	Faulkner	7.3%	5.1%	8.8%
	Marion	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
	Newton	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pope	7.7%	8.8%	0.0%
	Searcy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Van Buren	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>13.0%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	13.7%	10.3%	5.6%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>13.7%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	33.3%	20.0%	0.0%
	Calhoun	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%
	Cleveland	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Dallas	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Grant	25.0%	0.0%	9.1%
	Jefferson	18.0%	10.5%	9.4%
	Lincoln	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Lonoke	15.0%	22.2%	2.5%
	Prairie	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>18.6%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
Area 8	Clay	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%
	Craighead	5.1%	6.1%	9.5%
	Fulton	11.1%	0.0%	13.3%
	Greene	5.3%	11.0%	4.3%
	Izard	0.0%	20.0%	17.6%
	Lawrence	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Mississippi	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%
	Randolph	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
	Sharp	9.1%	0.0%	12.8%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>4.2%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>



Table A16

## Percentage of Children Who Reentered Foster Care Within 12 Months

National Standard: 8.3%

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	10.0%	2.6%	6.5%
	Crittenden	10.4%	1.3%	8.2%
	Cross	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
	Independence	0.0%	6.5%	24.0%
	Jackson	0.0%	5.3%	23.5%
	Poinsett	8.7%	20.3%	7.1%
	Stone	16.7%	0.0%	5.6%
	White	18.0%	6.1%	3.9%
	Woodruff	28.6%	33.3%	75.0%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>10.5%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
	Ashley	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Chicot	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	Desha	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
	Drew	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Lee	0.0%	N/A	0.0%
	Monroe	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Phillips	9.1%	18.8%	0.0%
	St. Francis	14.3%	0.0%	25.0%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>7.4%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>10.8%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>

Table A17

## Average Time (in Months) From Termination of Parental Rights to Adoption Finalization

Area	County	CY 2016		CY 2017		CY 2018	
		Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)
Area 1	Benton	57	7.5	32	13.3	26	10.3
	Carroll	8	5.6	12	7.2	3	6.7
	Madison	5	7.3	9	7.0	18	9.1
	Washington	61	8.8	61	10.2	55	10.2
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>131</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>9.9</b>
Area 2	Crawford	21	9.1	26	12.7	21	12.3
	Franklin	7	6.1	12	9.6	7	5.2
	Johnson	21	15.7	12	14.4	10	22.0
	Logan	9	12.6	14	9.7	14	11.7
	Scott	8	11.4	7	8.5	8	19.9
	Sebastian	113	16.0	162	12.6	177	12.3
	Yell	18	13.0	6	8.2	12	9.4
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>197</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>12.6</b>
Area 3	Clark	2	14.3	1	66.8	18	17.3
	Garland	11	13.6	8	14.9	28	10.9
	Hot Spring	11	8.9	19	11.2	10	12.2
	Howard	3	6.8	1	9.4	1	13.1
	Montgomery	0	N/A	1	46.6	2	14.0
	Perry	5	17.7	1	6.4	0	N/A
	Pike	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
	Polk	5	19.3	3	40.8	10	9.0
	Saline	12	5.7	10	15.6	27	8.4
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>49</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>16.8</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>11.4</b>
Area 4	Columbia	1	6.9	3	4.2	10	8.1
	Hempstead	0	N/A	4	8.3	1	14.3
	Lafayette	1	2.1	2	2.9	3	19.8
	Little River	4	6.5	2	16.8	1	2.5
	Miller	19	10.6	18	4.1	16	11.8
	Nevada	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
	Ouachita	1	7.4	4	4.9	1	3.5
	Sevier	1	12.0	4	4.9	0	N/A
	Union	19	12.3	12	11.0	12	24.5
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>46</b>	<b>10.7</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>14.6</b>

Table A17

## Average Time (in Months) From Termination of Parental Rights to Adoption Finalization

Area	County	CY 2016		CY 2017		CY 2018	
		Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)
Area 5	Baxter	6	24.7	11	13.0	10	13.7
	Boone	9	13.7	13	26.9	10	9.5
	Conway	13	11.7	11	10.5	24	10.3
	Faulkner	11	10.2	34	9.3	28	8.3
	Marion	1	86.0	3	6.4	7	11.4
	Newton	0	N/A	1	3.0	1	2.5
	Pope	24	16.6	11	8.0	12	7.7
	Searcy	2	15.9	1	5.2	1	9.5
	Van Buren	4	2.7	9	3.4	6	4.4
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>70</b>	<b>15.2</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>11.4</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>9.3</b>
Area 6	Pulaski	133	14.7	122	14.0	126	13.7
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>133</b>	<b>14.7</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>13.7</b>
Area 7	Bradley	3	13.4	0	N/A	1	34.9
	Calhoun	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	2.7
	Cleveland	3	9.3	4	12.4	0	N/A
	Dallas	5	13.4	1	44.9	6	13.3
	Grant	2	9.9	11	10.0	4	3.2
	Jefferson	9	6.3	21	5.2	4	7.0
	Lincoln	0	N/A	2	3.7	0	N/A
	Lonoke	9	10.1	34	11.7	14	11.4
	Prairie	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>10.6</b>
Area 8	Clay	5	8.6	8	9.3	2	6.7
	Craighead	42	9.4	29	8.3	18	13.4
	Fulton	2	5.3	6	4.3	8	5.4
	Greene	28	6.7	32	9.4	44	7.0
	Izard	10	5.0	4	7.3	6	7.4
	Lawrence	3	6.5	19	15.7	9	7.1
	Mississippi	5	10.3	5	5.5	6	13.6
	Randolph	4	6.4	8	10.5	7	10.2
	Sharp	0	N/A	16	5.4	17	5.0
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>99</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>8.1</b>

Table A17

## Average Time (in Months) From Termination of Parental Rights to Adoption Finalization

Area	County	CY 2016		CY 2017		CY 2018	
		Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)
Area 9	Cleburne	4	5.2	7	8.0	5	8.7
	Crittenden	13	8.1	11	15.5	7	7.6
	Cross	8	20.1	1	7.8	6	10.8
	Independence	13	10.1	7	7.5	5	9.5
	Jackson	3	5.7	15	14.1	19	9.8
	Poinsett	3	9.4	24	10.0	20	15.6
	Stone	0	N/A	5	10.9	3	19.7
	White	19	11.4	20	12.1	15	8.4
	Woodruff	1	63.2	0	N/A	5	12.5
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>64</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>11.2</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	2	37.9	7	6.5	2	7.1
	Ashley	1	3.1	0	N/A	0	N/A
	Chicot	2	7.8	2	12.9	2	9.9
	Desha	0	N/A	3	5.5	4	4.9
	Drew	8	6.2	0	N/A	2	16.8
	Lee	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
	Monroe	0	N/A	1	2.3	0	N/A
	Phillips	4	15.9	2	6.1	5	7.9
	St. Francis	1	17.3	6	9.8	6	7.6
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>8.2</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>838</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>973</b>	<b>11.3</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>11.3</b>

Table A18

## Percentage of Required Visits Made for In-Home Cases

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	71.7%	69.4%	65.6%
	Carroll	22.1%	37.2%	22.3%
	Madison	28.6%	36.6%	42.3%
	Washington	36.5%	46.8%	51.1%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>44.3%</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>53.2%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	38.7%	68.8%	74.7%
	Franklin	21.6%	40.9%	51.4%
	Johnson	47.5%	59.0%	69.6%
	Logan	46.0%	44.1%	53.9%
	Scott	25.6%	38.5%	50.9%
	Sebastian	52.4%	48.7%	56.5%
	Yell	84.8%	77.5%	69.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>45.8%</b>	<b>55.1%</b>	<b>62.0%</b>
Area 3	Clark	22.5%	32.3%	73.2%
	Garland	80.2%	60.1%	80.5%
	Hot Spring	32.1%	36.2%	59.9%
	Howard	87.1%	62.3%	88.6%
	Montgomery	88.0%	86.3%	83.3%
	Perry	75.0%	78.2%	74.5%
	Pike	69.5%	88.6%	74.0%
	Polk	69.9%	81.6%	89.4%
	Saline	51.3%	53.6%	47.8%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>57.6%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>69.8%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	61.5%	74.5%	73.6%
	Hempstead	76.8%	89.9%	93.6%
	Lafayette	79.7%	77.1%	83.9%
	Little River	96.9%	83.2%	89.8%
	Miller	56.2%	68.8%	88.6%
	Nevada	82.4%	95.8%	87.2%
	Ouachita	62.7%	68.9%	76.4%
	Sevier	96.6%	84.7%	90.5%
	Union	91.7%	95.3%	93.2%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>70.7%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>

Table A18

## Percentage of Required Visits Made for In-Home Cases

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	50.3%	60.9%	84.8%
	Boone	30.2%	50.3%	67.7%
	Conway	87.2%	74.2%	84.1%
	Faulkner	64.5%	66.8%	86.5%
	Marion	33.2%	53.5%	77.7%
	Newton	56.3%	61.8%	77.9%
	Pope	73.4%	64.4%	77.0%
	Searcy	71.4%	66.7%	100.0%
	Van Buren	75.2%	81.0%	97.8%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>58.3%</b>	<b>63.2%</b>	<b>81.6%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski (East)	75.3%	85.8%	91.9%
	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	47.7%	78.2%	84.5%
	Pulaski (North)	35.3%	50.0%	76.9%
	Pulaski (South)	39.3%	44.9%	73.4%
	Pulaski (Southwest)	48.1%	63.7%	92.3%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>46.4%</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>82.3%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	69.6%	23.8%	75.0%
	Calhoun	68.6%	56.1%	87.2%
	Cleveland	70.7%	82.6%	85.3%
	Dallas	70.0%	65.7%	64.5%
	Grant	44.6%	60.0%	85.5%
	Jefferson	45.2%	38.1%	43.5%
	Lincoln	82.1%	84.3%	91.5%
	Lonoke	78.9%	70.1%	68.0%
	Prairie	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>65.7%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>
Area 8	Clay	95.8%	98.4%	89.5%
	Craighead	55.8%	65.6%	86.0%
	Fulton	73.0%	83.7%	88.1%
	Greene	51.1%	32.1%	70.2%
	Izard	92.6%	93.8%	89.5%
	Lawrence	76.9%	70.9%	80.2%
	Mississippi	64.9%	47.5%	54.2%
	Randolph	67.3%	77.5%	84.6%
	Sharp	72.2%	71.8%	85.6%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>62.6%</b>	<b>56.7%</b>	<b>79.1%</b>

Table A18

## Percentage of Required Visits Made for In-Home Cases

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	91.5%	91.8%	93.6%
	Crittenden	71.0%	58.9%	73.8%
	Cross	83.2%	80.5%	88.9%
	Independence	79.7%	79.2%	81.9%
	Jackson	83.0%	79.5%	91.3%
	Poinsett	57.8%	51.6%	68.8%
	Stone	88.0%	80.6%	91.3%
	White	82.5%	59.8%	83.8%
	Woodruff	78.4%	91.3%	63.5%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>78.7%</b>	<b>68.1%</b>	<b>82.1%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	89.6%	86.5%	76.2%
	Ashley	81.9%	66.8%	76.1%
	Chicot	86.8%	78.8%	81.2%
	Desha	95.1%	85.4%	88.4%
	Drew	84.9%	85.0%	92.3%
	Lee	94.1%	98.0%	83.3%
	Monroe	75.3%	89.7%	86.3%
	Phillips	65.0%	67.3%	84.7%
	St. Francis	46.8%	19.6%	61.4%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>71.8%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>57.9%</b>	<b>60.3%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>

Table A19

## Percentage of Required Visits Made for Children in Foster Care

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 1	Benton	84.7%	71.9%	66.1%
	Carroll	75.0%	76.5%	81.4%
	Madison	77.1%	66.1%	94.8%
	Washington	60.4%	67.6%	76.5%
<b>Area 1 Total</b>		<b>71.0%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>75.6%</b>
Area 2	Crawford	59.2%	70.0%	83.9%
	Franklin	55.4%	70.3%	75.0%
	Johnson	80.9%	74.9%	78.3%
	Logan	56.5%	49.9%	63.1%
	Scott	58.9%	77.0%	92.3%
	Sebastian	65.6%	62.2%	72.6%
	Yell	72.7%	71.3%	83.0%
<b>Area 2 Total</b>		<b>64.6%</b>	<b>64.2%</b>	<b>74.9%</b>
Area 3	Clark	60.1%	55.0%	83.8%
	Garland	80.9%	64.1%	84.9%
	Hot Spring	56.5%	57.7%	85.3%
	Howard	94.4%	88.5%	85.3%
	Montgomery	71.4%	86.4%	100.0%
	Perry	72.8%	80.3%	83.7%
	Pike	69.2%	76.2%	88.2%
	Polk	78.6%	84.3%	94.8%
	Saline	79.6%	83.6%	86.7%
<b>Area 3 Total</b>		<b>72.8%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>86.4%</b>
Area 4	Columbia	78.8%	88.2%	92.8%
	Hempstead	83.2%	85.6%	96.8%
	Lafayette	83.1%	97.1%	88.5%
	Little River	91.1%	85.2%	89.8%
	Miller	80.4%	82.8%	95.9%
	Nevada	66.7%	100.0%	94.1%
	Ouachita	77.3%	86.0%	89.2%
	Sevier	89.5%	88.8%	94.4%
	Union	93.5%	97.2%	98.1%
<b>Area 4 Total</b>		<b>84.2%</b>	<b>88.5%</b>	<b>94.4%</b>



Table A19

## Percentage of Required Visits Made for Children in Foster Care

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 5	Baxter	76.7%	82.9%	94.3%
	Boone	56.4%	70.6%	84.2%
	Conway	83.4%	75.2%	87.5%
	Faulkner	83.5%	87.8%	96.3%
	Marion	62.5%	67.6%	95.3%
	Newton	67.5%	70.1%	84.8%
	Pope	82.8%	73.4%	83.9%
	Searcy	67.0%	67.7%	98.7%
	Van Buren	82.4%	89.4%	95.7%
<b>Area 5 Total</b>		<b>76.6%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>	<b>91.2%</b>
Area 6	Pulaski (East)	88.3%	91.7%	93.6%
	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	66.7%	80.6%	91.9%
	Pulaski (North)	59.1%	68.4%	82.4%
	Pulaski (South)	78.1%	76.6%	95.2%
	Pulaski (Southwest)	75.8%	78.8%	92.4%
<b>Area 6 Total</b>		<b>70.7%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>90.7%</b>
Area 7	Bradley	69.0%	66.9%	85.3%
	Calhoun	89.2%	80.6%	81.8%
	Cleveland	75.8%	85.2%	78.8%
	Dallas	84.3%	84.1%	91.2%
	Grant	76.0%	68.0%	98.2%
	Jefferson	56.9%	55.1%	71.7%
	Lincoln	90.3%	87.5%	97.1%
	Lonoke	88.5%	89.2%	84.4%
	Prairie	67.9%	90.7%	73.3%
<b>Area 7 Total</b>		<b>73.4%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>81.9%</b>
Area 8	Clay	93.6%	88.8%	92.4%
	Craighead	61.9%	71.1%	87.4%
	Fulton	82.4%	87.7%	86.8%
	Greene	48.7%	58.2%	72.1%
	Izard	82.7%	83.2%	90.9%
	Lawrence	79.1%	70.8%	89.5%
	Mississippi	75.9%	48.6%	67.0%
	Randolph	76.0%	81.2%	92.7%
	Sharp	91.3%	79.6%	89.9%
<b>Area 8 Total</b>		<b>66.6%</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>81.9%</b>

Table A19

## Percentage of Required Visits Made for Children in Foster Care

Area	County	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018
Area 9	Cleburne	88.3%	93.0%	96.3%
	Crittenden	83.9%	71.5%	80.2%
	Cross	89.9%	88.1%	91.0%
	Independence	79.3%	79.2%	90.8%
	Jackson	83.1%	78.9%	76.9%
	Poinsett	72.5%	63.9%	80.4%
	Stone	85.0%	92.5%	94.2%
	White	79.0%	69.3%	86.5%
	Woodruff	90.8%	78.7%	78.3%
<b>Area 9 Total</b>		<b>81.1%</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>84.5%</b>
Area 10	Arkansas	95.9%	93.6%	94.3%
	Ashley	89.1%	82.5%	91.0%
	Chicot	91.1%	79.1%	88.9%
	Desha	91.1%	92.9%	89.9%
	Drew	90.1%	88.5%	93.9%
	Lee	91.5%	97.4%	95.5%
	Monroe	92.6%	73.0%	91.7%
	Phillips	79.1%	79.1%	88.1%
	St. Francis	77.6%	64.7%	87.7%
<b>Area 10 Total</b>		<b>86.0%</b>	<b>80.8%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>72.4%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>82.9%</b>